1. Circle

Consider the following grammar for a language called Circle:

e ::= Duck $e \mid v$ v ::= Goose

We give an operational semantics for this language:

DUCK
$$\frac{e \Rightarrow v}{\text{Duck } e \Rightarrow v}$$
 Goose $\frac{}{\text{Goose} \Rightarrow \text{Goose}}$

We state the following theorem:

Theorem 1. Circle is normalizing; that is, $\forall e. \exists v. e \Rightarrow v.$

Prove Theorem 1.

2. Robot

Consider the following grammar for a language called Robot:

We give an operational semantics for this language:

BEEP
$$\frac{e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \qquad e_2 \Rightarrow v_2}{\text{Beep } e_1 \ e_2 \Rightarrow v_2} \qquad \qquad \text{BOOP } \frac{}{\text{Boop} \Rightarrow \text{Boop}}$$

We state the following theorem:

Theorem 2. Robot is normalizing; that is, $\forall e. \exists v. e \Rightarrow v.$

Prove Theorem 2.

3. CoinFlip

Consider the following grammar for a language called CoinFlip:

e ::= Flip $e \mid \text{Stop}$ v ::= Heads | Tails

We define the *opposite* of values v in CoinFlip as follows: the opposite of Heads is Tails and the opposite of Tails is Heads.

We give the following operational semantics for CoinFlip, which we denote $e \stackrel{\text{\tiny H}}{\Rightarrow} v$:

STOP
$$\frac{}{\text{Stop} \stackrel{\text{H}}{\Rightarrow} \text{Heads}}$$
 FLIP $\frac{e \stackrel{\text{H}}{\Rightarrow} v \qquad v' \text{ is the opposite of } v}{\text{Flip } e \stackrel{\text{H}}{\Rightarrow} v'}$

We also give another operational semantics for CoinFlip, this time denoted $e \stackrel{\mathbb{T}}{\Rightarrow} v$:

STOP
$$\frac{}{\text{Stop} \stackrel{\text{T}}{\Rightarrow} \text{Tails}}$$
 FLIP $\frac{e \stackrel{\text{T}}{\Rightarrow} v \qquad v' \text{ is the opposite of } v}{\text{Flip } e \stackrel{\text{T}}{\Rightarrow} v'}$

Note that these relations give two different ways of evaluating expressions. We state the following theorem:

Theorem 3. If $e \stackrel{\text{H}}{\Rightarrow} v$ then Flip $e \stackrel{\text{T}}{\Rightarrow} v$.

Prove Theorem 3.

4. Addsolute

Consider the following grammar for a language called Addsolute:

$$e ::= v | e + e | -e | Abs e$$

 $v ::= 0 | 1 | 2 | \dots$

We give this language the following operational semantics:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{VALUE} & \underset{v \Rightarrow v}{\text{VALUE}} & \underset{v \Rightarrow v}{\text{NEGATE}} & \underbrace{e \Rightarrow v \quad v' \text{ is the arithmetic negation of } v}_{-e \Rightarrow v'} \\ \\ \text{PLUS} & \underbrace{e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad e_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \quad v \text{ is the arithmetic sum of } v_1 \text{ and } v_2}_{e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow v} \\ \\ \text{ABS POS} & \underbrace{e \Rightarrow v \quad v \ge 0}_{\text{Abs } e \Rightarrow v} & \text{ABS NEG} & \underbrace{e \Rightarrow v \quad v < 0 \quad -e \Rightarrow v'}_{\text{Abs } e \Rightarrow v'} \end{array}$

We state the following theorem:

Theorem 4. Addsolute is deterministic; that is, if $e \Rightarrow v$ and $e \Rightarrow v'$ then v = v'.