

## EXPLORING "ARTIST IMAGE" USING CONTENT-BASED ANALYSIS OF PROMOTIONAL PHOTOS

Jānis Lībeks

swarthmre.edu ti

# Douglas Turnbull

jlibeks1@swarthmre.edu

turnbull@cs.swarthmore.edu

## Motivation:

Long before Michael Jackson made music videos for MTV, and even before Elvis played The Ed Sullivan Show, the outward appearance, or *image*, of artists has played an important role in shaping how their music is received by audiences.

We explore how image similarity is related to music similarity by analyzing promotional photos of artists.

Our goal is to annotate artists with meaningful tags using a state-of-theart image annotation system [1].



#### Approach:

Use image similarity measure proposed by Makadia et al. [1].



Joint Equal Contribution (JEC): for each pair of images, take normalized average of seven distances.

For each image of the query artist, find the 1-nearest neighbor image (e.g., most similari "looking" artist)
Propagate tags to the query artist from set of similar artists by averaging their annotation vectors



### **Evaluation**:

Best performance with 4 images per artist. 37 out of 50 tags perform better than random.

Tog	ALLC*
iay	AUC
random	0.50
metal	0.70
death metal	0.69
melodic death metal	0.68
thrash metal	0.67
hardcore	0.65
trance	0.64
dance	0.64
heavy metal	0.63
indie pop	0.63

\*AUC – Area under ROC curve

## Conclusions:

Images **can** be used to predict *some* genres.

Higher-level object detection might be used to improve peformance (e.g., "10-gallon hat" or "cowboy boot" detectors for *country* music.)

#### References:

[1] A. Makadia, F. Pavlovic, S. Kumar. A new baseline for image annotation. ECCV, 2008.