CS46 Homework 4

This homework is due at 10pm on Sunday, February 23.

For this homework, you will work with a partner. It's ok to discuss approaches at a high level with other students, but most of your discussions should just be with your partner. Your partnership's write-up is your own: do not share it, and do not read other teams' write-ups. If you use any out-of-class references (anything except class notes, the textbook, or asking Lila), then you **must** cite these in your post-homework survey. Please refer to the course webpage or ask me any questions you have about this policy.

The main **learning goal** of this homework is to work with our tools for proving that languages are/are not regular, and designing CFGs. As always, we shall continue to monotonically improve our proof-writing, clarity, and organization skills.

1. Give a context-gree grammar that generates the language

. . .

$$\{a^i b^j c^k \mid i = j \text{ or } j = k, \text{ where } i, j, k \ge 0\}$$

You do not have to give a proof of correctness, but you should think about what would be required to write a proof. This will help you debug your grammar. (Note: we saw a PDA for this language in lecture.)

- 2. Consider the class of context-free languages.
 - (a) Using constructive proofs, build context-free grammars that demonstrate the class of context-free languages is closed under the regular operations of union, concatenation, and Kleene star. (You should build three separate grammars, and argue that each is correct.)
 - (b) Theorem 1.25 proves that the class of regular languages is closed under intersection. Technically, it proves closure under union, but as the footnote in step 5 notes, a slight tweak makes this proof work for intersection, too. Can a similar technique be applied to pushdown automata to show the class of context-free languages is closed under intersection? Explain your answer briefly, but you do not need to give a full proof/counterargument.
- 3. Consider the following languages. For each, is the language regular? Support your claim with a proof.
 - (a) $L_1 = \{a^k u a^k \mid k \ge 1 \text{ and } u \in \Sigma^*\}$ where $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$.
 - (b) $L_2 = \{a^k b u a^k \mid k \ge 1 \text{ and } u \in \Sigma^*\}$ where $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$.
 - (c) $L_3 = \{a^n b^m a^m b^n \mid m, n \ge 0\}$ where $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$.
 - (d) $L_4 = \{a^{m-n} \mid \frac{m}{n} = 3\}$ where $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$.
 - (e) $L_5 = \{w \mid w \text{ is not a palindrome}\}\$ where $\Sigma = \{a, b\}.$
 - (f) $L_6 = \{ w \mid w = x_1 \# x_2 \# \cdots \# x_k \text{ for } k \ge 0, \text{ each } x_i \in L(a^*), \text{ and } x_i \ne x_j \text{ for } i \ne j \}, \text{ where } \Sigma = \{ a, \# \}.$

4. (extra credit) Consider the grammar G with rules: $\begin{cases} S \rightarrow AS \mid \varepsilon \\ A \rightarrow 0A \mid A1 \mid \varepsilon \end{cases}$

- (a) Show that G is ambiguous.
- (b) Give an equivalent grammar to G which is not ambiguous. (No proof is required, but you should explain why it's not ambiguous and why it generates exactly the same strings as G.)
- 5. (dangerously extra credit) Consider the languages from lab this week (practice problems 4) and from this homework. For any of those languages that are context-free and not regular, give a CFG or PDA for that language. (Warning: some of the languages might not even be context-free, so... use your time wisely. We are still learning the tools to deal with all of these.)