The lab problems this week focus on divide and conquer algorithms. The purpose of this lab is to gain practice using the divide and conquer approach to solving problems.

1. **Divide and conquer minimum spanning trees?**

   Lila has a really cool idea for a divide and conquer algorithm which will find a MST. Given a connected, undirected graph \( G = (V, E) \) with weighted edges, Lila’s algorithm does the following:
   
   - Divides the graph into two pieces, \( G_1 = (V_1, E_1) \) and \( G_2 = (V_2, E_2) \). (\( V_1 \cup V_2 = V \) and \( V_1 \) and \( V_2 \) are disjoint. \( E_1 \) is the edges in \( E \) with both endpoints in \( V_1 \), and \( E_2 \) is the edges in \( E \) with both endpoints in \( V_2 \).)
   - Recursively finds the MSTs \( M_1 \) for \( G_1 \) and \( M_2 \) for \( G_2 \).
   - Finds the lowest-weight edge \( e = (u, v) \) with \( u \in V_1 \) and \( v \in V_2 \).
   - Returns the minimum spanning tree \( M_1 \cup M_2 \cup \{e\} \).

   Unfortunately, this algorithm does not work. Give an example input graph \( G \) with weights and describe a run of this algorithm where the algorithm does not return a minimum spanning tree on \( G \).

2. An investment company has designed several new trading strategies and wants to compare them. Unfortunately, the strategies were tested on different commodities over different time periods, so the total profit earned is not a fair comparison. Instead, the company wants to compare each strategy’s profit to the maximum possible profit that could have been made (with perfect hindsight) during the same time span. Each strategy was allowed to buy a fixed amount of the commodity once and then sell what it bought at some later date.

   During each testing period, the investment company recorded the minimum and maximum prices that were reached each day. Your algorithm receives a list of \( n \) pairs, where the \( i^{th} \) pair has the minimum price of the commodity and the maximum price of the commodity on day \( i \). Your algorithm should output the largest price difference that could have been achieved by buying at the minimum price on day \( i \) and selling at the maximum price on day \( j > i \).

   For example, suppose \( n = 3 \) and the (min, max) prices were \([(8, 14), (1, 2), (4, 6)]\). Then you should return a per-unit profit of 5, corresponding to buying for 1 on day 2 and selling for 6 on day three (recall that the trading strategies must buy first, and can’t sell on the same day).

   Clearly, there is a simple algorithm that takes time \( O(n^2) \): try all possible pairs of buy/sell days and see which makes the most money. Design a divide and conquer algorithm to determine the best profit in hindsight more efficiently. Set up a recurrence that describes the running time of your algorithm, and solve it to show that your algorithm is faster than \( O(n^2) \).
3. You are interested in analyzing some hard-to-obtain data from two separate databases. Each database contains $n$ numerical values (so there are $2n$ values total). You’d like to determine the median of this set of $2n$ values, defined as the $n$-th smallest value.

The only way you can access these values is through queries to the databases. In a single query, you can specify a value $k$ to one of the two databases, and the chosen database will return the $k$-th smallest value it contains. Since queries are expensive, you would like to compute the median using as few queries as possible.

- Design an algorithm that finds the median value using at most $O(\log n)$ queries. Full pseudocode is not necessary, but you must clearly explain how it works, and you must handle all edge cases; e.g., do not assume that $n$ is even.
- Show that your algorithm correctly returns the median.
- Prove that your algorithm uses only $O(\log n)$ queries.

4. Solve the following recurrence relation (i) using partial substitution, and (ii) using recursion trees.

\[
T(n) = 3T(n/3) + 10\sqrt{n},
\]

\[
T(1) = 5 .
\]