# CS 88: Security and Privacy 

11: Introduction to Cryptography 10-06-2022
slides adapted from Dave Levine, Vitaly Shmatikov, Christo Wilson, and Franzi Roesner


XKCD: http://xkcd.com/538/

## Cryptography

- Cryptography: An ancient art
- 500BC - 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ century: Design -> break -> repair -> break -> repair ->.....
- Modern Cryptography: Cryptography as a science
- relies on rigorous threat models
- firm theoretical foundations and proofs!


## Modern Cryptography

Design, analysis and implementation of mathematical techniques for securing information, systems and computation against adversarial attacks.

Modern Cryptography: How many of the following actions involve cryptography?

1. Git cloning your lab repo
2. Connecting to Swarthmore's WiFi
3. Updating software on your device
4. Making online purchases
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { A. } 1 & \text { B. } 2 & \text { C. } 3 & \text { D. } 4 \mathrm{E} .0\end{array}$

Where Does the Attacker Live?


## Scenarios and Goals



## Scenarios and Goals



Confidentiality
Keep others from
reading Alice's messages/data
Integrity

Authenticity
Keep others from undetectably
tampering with Alice's messages/data
Keep others from undetectably impersonating Alice (keep her to her word too!)

## Recall the Bigger Picture

- Cryptography: small piece of a larger system
- Protect the entire system (recall: the weakest link)
- physical security
- OS security
- Network security
- Users
- Cryptography
- Cryptography is a crucial part of this toolbox



## Cryptography: Terms



Encryption (E): The process of transforming a message so that its meaning is not obvious
Decryption (D): The process of transforming an encrypted message back into its original form.
Plaintext (P): Original, unencrypted form of a message Ciphertext (C): The encrypted form of a message

Formal Notation: We seek a cryptosystem for which $P=D(E(P))$

## Historical Ciphers

- Substitution Cipher
- Monoalphabetic - Ceasar's Cipher - fixed subst. over the entire message
- Polyalphabetic - a number of substitutions at different positions in the message
- Transposition Ciphers
- Codebooks
- Machines


## Ceasar Cipher: Substitution Cipher

Plaintext letters replaced with letters fixed shift way in the alphabet.


Example:

- Plaintext: HEY BRUTUS BRING A KNIFE TO THE PARTY.
- Ciphertext: KHB EUXWXV EULQJ D NQLIH WR WKH SDUWB
- Key Shift 3:
- ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ
- DEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZABC


## Ceasar Cipher: Substitution Cipher

Plaintext letters replaced with letters fixed shift way in the alphabet.


Example:

- Plaintext: HEY BRUTUS BRING A KNIFE TO THE PARTY.
- Ciphertext: KHB EUXWXV EULQJ D NQLIH WR WKH SDUWB
- Key Shift 3:
- ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ
- DEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZABC

- Encryption and Decryption are symmetric.
- Key space?
- 26
- Attack shift ciphers?
- brute force


## Substitution Cipher

- Superset of shift ciphers: each letter is substituted for another one.
- One implementation: Add a secret key
- Example
- Plaintext: ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ
- Cipher: ZEBRASCDFGHIJKLMNOPQTUVWXY
- "state-of-the-art" for thousands of years


## Monoalphabetic Substitution Cipher

-What is the key space?

- 26! approx. $=2 \wedge 88$
- Launching an attack?
- frequency analysis: the study of frequency of letters or groups of letters (grams).
- Common letters: T, A, E, I, O
- Common 2-letter combinations (bi-grams): TH, HE, IN, ER
- Common 3-letter combinations (tri-grams): THE, AND, ING.




## Cryptanalysis of Monoalphabetic Substitution

- Dominates cryptography through the first millennium
- Frequency analysis
- Remember Al-Kindi from 800 AD?
- Lessons?
- Use large blocks: instead of replacing ~6 bits at a time, replace 64 or 128 bits
- Leads to block ciphers like DES and AES
- Use different substitutions to prevent frequency analysis
- Leads to polyalphabetic substitution ciphers and stream ciphers


## Vigenère Cipher (1596)

- Main weakness of monoalphabetic substitution ciphers:
- Each letter in the ciphertext corresponds to only one letter in the plaintext
- Polyalphabetic substitution cipher
- Given a key $K=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{m}\right)$
- Shift each letter $p$ in the plaintext by $k_{i,}$, where $i$ is modulo $m$


| A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R | S | T | U | V | W | X | Y |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Z

## Plaintext CRYPTOGRAPHY

Key LUCK LUCKLUCK (Shift 11202101120211 ...)

## Kasisky Test

Plaintext THESUNANDTHEMANINTHEMOON Key K I N G K I NGKINGKINGKINGKING<br>Ciphertext D P R Y E V NTNBUKWIAOXBUKWWBT<br>Distance $=8$

- Repeating patterns (of length $>2$ ) in ciphertext are a tell
- Likely due to repeated plaintext encrypted under repeated key characters
- The distance is likely to be a multiple of the key length


## Cryptanalysis of Vigenère Cipher

- Cracking Vigenère (1854 or 1863)

1. Guess the key length $x$ using Kasisky test of index of coincidence
2. Divide the ciphertext into $x$ shift cipher encryptions
3. Use frequency analysis on each shift cipher
-Lessons?

- As key length increases, letter frequency becomes more random
- If key never repeated, Vigenère wouldn't be breakable!
- WW2 German Enigma machine
- Polyal phabetic substitution cipher
- Substitution table changes from character to character
- Rotors control substitutions
- Allies broke Enigma (even before the war), significant intelligence impact
- Computers were built to break WW2 ciphers, by Alan Turing and others



## Enigma Machine

- Use rotors that change position after each key
- Key: initial setting of the rotors
- Key space?
- $26^{\wedge} n$ for $n$ rotors
- KeyGen:
- Choose rotors, rotor orders, rotor positions, and plugboard settings
- 158,962,555,217,826,360,000 possible kevs!


## Cryptanalysis: Enigma

- Polish and British cryptographers built BOMBE, a machine to brute-force Enigma keys
- Why was Enigma breakable?
- Kerckhoff's principle: The Allies stole Enigma machines, so they knew the algorithm
- Known plaintext attacks: the Germans often sent predictable messages (e.g. the weather report every morning)
- Chosen plaintext attacks: the Allies could trick the Germans into sending a message (e.g. "soldiers at Normandy")
- Brute-force: BOMBE would try many keys until the correct one was found


BOMBE machine

## Legacy of Enigma

- Alan Turing, one of the cryptographers who broke Enigma, would go on to become one of the founding fathers of computer science
- Most experts agree that the Allies breaking Enigma shortened the war in Europe by about a year


Alan Turing

## Cryptography by Computers

- The modern era of cryptography started after WWII, with the work of Claude Shannon
- "New Directions in Cryptography" (1976) showed how number theory can be used in cryptography
- Its authors, Whitfield Diffie and Martin Hellman, won the Turing Award in 2015 for this paper
- This is the era of cryptography we'll be focusing on.



## How Cryptosystems work today

- Layered approach:
- Cryptographic protocols (e.g., CBC mode encryption)
- Built on: Cryptographic primitives (block ciphers)
- Flavors of cryptography:
- Symmetric: private key
- Asymmetric: public key
- Public algorithms: Kerckhoff's principle
- Security proofs based on assumptions (not this course)
- Warning!
- careful about inventing your own!
- Use vetted libraries to apply crypto algorithms!



## Cryptosystem Stack

- Primitives:
- AES/DES
- ESA / EIGamal / Elliptic Curve
- Modes
- Block mode (CBC, ECV, CTR, GCM..)
- Padding structures
- Protocols:
- TLS, SSL, SSH
- Usage of protocols:
- Browser security
- Secure remote logins


## Flavors of Cryptography

- Symmetric cryptography
- Both communicating parties have access to a shared random string K, called the key.
- Asymmetric cryptography
- Each party creates a public key $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and a secret key $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}}$
- Inventors won Turing Award!


## Kerckhoff's Principle

- Security of a cryptographic object should depend only on the secrecy of the secret (private) key.
- Security should not depend on the secrecy of the algorithm itself.
- Foreshadow: Need for randomness - the key to keep private


## Flavors of Cryptography

- Symmetric cryptography
- Both communicating parties have access to a shared random string K, called the key.
- Challenge: How do you privately share a key?
- Asymmetric cryptography
- Each party creates a public key $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and a secret key $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}}$
- Challenge: How do you validate a public key?
- Key Insight: Randomness -
- something an adversary won't know, can't predict and can't figure out.


## Randomness



Explicit Uses of Randomness:

- Generate secret cryptographic keys
- Generate random initialization vectors for encryption

Non-obvious Use cases

- Generate passwords for new users
- Shuffle the order of votes in an electronic voting machine
- Shuffle cards etc. (for online games)


## Randomness



## Randomness



- Ideally, to the attacker, it is indistinguishable from a string of bits chosen uniformly, at random.
- However, this is impossible with Alice and Bob having a shared secret.


## What we have, ideally: Random Functions

Consider the set of all permutations $f_{i}: X \rightarrow X$



```
\vdots
```



```
"One-way trapdoor function"
Think of \(X\) as all
128-bit bit strings
```



## One Time Pad (1920s)

- Fix the vulnerability of the Vigenère cipher by using very long keys
- Key is a random string that is at least as long as the plaintext
- Similar encryption as with Vigenère (different shift per letter)



## Review: XOR

The XOR operator takes two bits and outputs one bit:

| $0 \oplus 0=0$ |
| :--- |
| $0 \oplus 1=1$ |
| $1 \oplus 0=1$ |
| $1 \oplus 1=0$ |

Useful properties of XOR:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \oplus 0=x \\
& x \oplus x=0 \\
& x \oplus y=y \oplus x \\
& (x \oplus y) \oplus z=x \oplus(y \oplus z) \\
& (x \oplus y) \oplus x=y
\end{aligned}
$$

## Review: XOR Algebra

Algebra works on XOR too

| $y \oplus 1=0$ | Goal: Solve for $y$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $y \oplus 1 \oplus 1=0 \oplus 1$ | XOR both sides by 1 |
| $y=1$ | Simplify with identities |

## One-Time Pads: Key Generation

## Alice

| $K$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 11

The key $K$ is a randomly-chosen bitstring.
Recall: We are in the symmetric-key setting, so we'll assume Alice and Bob both know this key.

## One-Time Pads: Encryption

| Alice | The plaintext $M$ is the bitstring that Alice wants to encrypt. |  |  |  |  |  | Idea: Use XOR to scramble up $M$ with the bits of $K$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $K$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| M | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

## One-Time Pads: Encryption

Alice

| $K$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ |
| M | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

> Encryption algorithm: XOR each bit of $K$ with the matching bit in $M$.

The ciphertext $C$ is the encrypted bitstring that Alice sends to Bob over the insecure channel.

One-Time Pads: Decryption

| Bob |  | Bob receives the ciphertext $C$. Bob knows the key K. How does Bob recover M? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| C | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

## One-Time Pads: Decryption

| Bob |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $K$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ |
| C | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | $\downarrow \downarrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| M | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

## Decryption algorithm: XOR each bit of $K$ with the matching bit in $C$.

## Cryptanalysis of OTP

- The key is random, so ciphertext is also random
- OTP achieves Perfect Secrecy
- Shannon or Information Theoretic Security
- Basic idea: ciphertext reveals no "information" about plaintext
- The adversary believes the probability that the plaintext is $m$ is $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{PT}=\mathrm{m})$ before seeing the ciphertext
- Maybe they are very sure, or maybe they have no idea.
- The adversary believes the probability that the plaintext is $m$ is $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{PT}=\mathrm{m} \mid \mathrm{CT}=\mathrm{c})$ after seeing that the ciphertext is c .
- $P(P T=m \mid C T=c)=P(P T=m)$ means that after knowing that the ciphertext is $c$, the adversary's belief does not change.
- Intuitively, the adversary learned nothing from the ciphertext


## Put Another Way

- Imagine you have a ciphertext $c$ where the length $|c|=1000$
- I can give you a key $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$ with $\left|\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|=1000$ such that:
- The decrypted message $m_{i}$ is the first 1000 characters of Hamlet
- Or, I can give you a key $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}$ with $\left|\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}\right|=1000$ such that:
- The decrypted message $m_{j}$ is the first 1000 characters of the US Constitution
- If an algorithm offers perfect secrecy then:
- For a given ciphertext of length $n$
- All possible corresponding plaintexts of length $n$ are possible decryptions


## Cryptanalysis of OTP

- Intuitively, the key is random, so ciphertext is also random
- OTP achieves Perfect Secrecy
- Shannon or Information Theoretic Security
- Basic idea: ciphertext reveals no "information" about plaintext
- Caveats
- If the length of the OTP key is less than the length of the message...
- It's not a OTP anymore, not perfectly secret!
- If you reuse the OTP key...
- It's not a OTP anymore, not perfectly secret!
- Major issue with OTP in practice?
- How to securely distribute the key books to both parties


## What we have, ideally: Random Functions

Shared secret: index $i$ chosen u.a.r.


In essence, this protocol is saying "Let's use the ith permutation function" Infeasible to store all permutation functions - so instead cryptographers construct pseudorandom functions

## What we have, ideally: Random Functions

- When describing algorithms, we assume access to uniformly distributed bits/bytes to use for key generation
-Where do these actually come from?
- Precise details depend on the system
- Linux or unix: /dev/random or /dev/urandom
- Do not use C's rand() or java.util.Random
- Use crypto libraries instead


## Random-number generation

- Two steps:

1. Continually collect a "pool" of high-entropy (i.e., "unpredictable") data
2. When random bits are requested, process this data to generate a sequence of uniform, independent bits/bytes

- May "block" if insufficient entropy available


## How Random is "Random"?

## OXFFFFFFFF EVERY TIME IS OXDEADBEEF - <br> How a months-old AMD microcode bug destroyed my weekend [UPDATED]

AMD shipped Ryzen 3000 with a serious microcode bug in its random number generator.


## How might we get "good" random numbers?

- For security applications, want "cryptographically secure pseudorandom numbers"
- Libraries include cryptographically secure pseudorandom number generators (CSPRNG)
- Linux:
- /dev/random: blocking: waits for enough entropy
- /dev/urandom: nonblocking, possibly less entropy
- getrandom() - syscall! - by default blocking
- Internally:
- Entropy pool: gathered from multiple sources
- e.g.: mouse/keyboard/network timings
- Better idea:
- AMD/Intel's on-chip random number generator: RDRAND
- Hopefully no hardware bugs!

