## CS 43: Computer Networks


#### 18: Routing Algorithms November 17. 2020

Adapted from Slides by: J.Kurose, D. Choffnes, K. Webb



#### Network Layer


Function: Route packets end-to-end on a network, through multiple hops



#### Network Layer Functions

- Forwarding: move packets from router's input to appropriate router output
  - Look up in a table
- Routing: determine route taken by packets from source to destination.
  - Populating the table


# Interplay between routing, forwarding



## Routing

#### **Traditional**

- Routers run a routing protocol to exchange state.
- Use state to build up the forwarding table.




#### Software-Defined


- Routers are dumb, just do what they're told.
- Controller service explicitly tells each router what to do.
- Rare on the Internet, hot topic in data centers.

### Software-Defined Networking (SDN)


#### **Traditional Hardware**

#### **SDN Hardware**





### **Graph Abstraction**




graph: G = (N,E)

N = set of routers = { u, v, w, x, y, z }

E = set of links ={ (u,v), (u,x), (v,x), (v,w), (x,w), (x,y), (w,y), (w,z), (y,z) }

#### Graph Abstraction



c(x,x') = cost of link (x,x')e.g., c(w,z) = 5

Cost of path  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_p) = c(x_1, x_2) + c(x_2, x_3) + ... + c(x_{p-1}, x_p)$ 

Key question: what is the least-cost path between u and z ? Routing algorithm: algorithm that finds that least cost path

#### How should link costs be determined?

- A. They should all be equal.
- B. They should be a function of link capacity.
- C. They should take current traffic characteristics into account (congestion, delay, etc.).
- D. They should be manually determined by network administrators.
- E. They should be determined in some other way.

#### Link Cost

- Typically simple: all links are equal
- Least-cost paths => shortest paths (hop count)
- Network operators add policy exceptions
  - Lower operational costs
  - Peering agreements
  - Security concerns

### Routing Challenges

- How to choose best path?
  Defining "best" can be slippery
- How to scale to millions of users?


– Minimize control messages and routing table size

How to adapt quickly to failures or changes?
 – Node and link failures, plus message loss


How much information should a router know about the network?


- A. The next hop and cost of forwarding to its neighbor(s).
- B. The next hop and cost of forwarding to any destination.
- C. The status and cost of every link in the network.





#### Routing Table?






• At a minimum, the routing table at U needs to know the next hop for each possible destination.

### Routing Table

Dest	Next Hop	Cost (Path)
V	V	2
Х	Х	1
W	X	4
Y	Х	2
Z	X	4



- At a minimum, the routing table at U needs to know the next hop for each possible destination.
- Probably want more info (e.g., path cost, maybe path itself)
- This is a key difference between routing & forwarding!

### Routing Algorithm Classes

#### Link State (Global)

- Routers maintain cost of each link in the network.
- Connectivity/cost changes flooded to all routers.
- Converges quickly (less inconsistency, looping, etc.).
- Limited network sizes.

#### **Distance Vector (Decentralized)**


- Routers maintain next hop & cost of each destination.
- Connectivity/cost changes iteratively propagate from neighbor to neighbor.
- Requires multiple rounds to converge.
- Scales to large networks.


### Flooding LSAs

- Routers transmit Link State Advertisement (LSA) on links
  - A neighboring router forwards out all links except incoming
  - Keep a copy locally; don't forward previously-seen LSAs
- Challenges
  - Packet loss
  - Out-of-order arrival
- Solutions
  - Acknowledgments and retransmissions
  - Sequence numbers
  - Time-to-live for each packet

#### Flooding Example

• LSA generated by X at T=0





#### 1 Initialization:

- $2 \quad \mathsf{N'} = \{u\}$
- 3 for all nodes v
- 4 if v adjacent to u
- 5 then D(v) = c(u,v)
- 6 else  $D(v) = \infty$

Only know best route to self so far.

For every other router, set it's known distance to link cost if it's a neighbor. Otherwise, set it to infinity.

#### 1 Initialization:

- $2 \quad \mathsf{N'} = \{u\}$
- 3 for all nodes v
- 4 if v adjacent to u
- 5 then D(v) = c(u,v)

```
6 else D(v) = \infty
```

Pick the node (w) that isn't already in N' with the shortest distance (least cost path) and add it to N'.

Check all possible destinations from w. If going through w gives a lower cost to destination v, update D(v).

```
7
8 Loc
```

- 8 **Loop**
- 9 find w not in N' such that D(w) is a minimum
- 10 add w to N'
- 11 update D(v) for all v adjacent to w and not in N' :
- 12 D(v) = min(D(v), D(w) + c(w,v))
- 13 /\* new cost to v is either old cost to v or known
- 14 shortest path cost to w plus cost from w to v \*/
- 15 until all nodes in N'

#### 1 Initialization:

- $2 \quad \mathsf{N'} = \{u\}$
- 3 for all nodes v
- 4 if v adjacent to u
- 5 then D(v) = c(u,v)

```
6 else D(v) = \infty
```


Pick the node (w) that isn't already in N' with the shortest distance (least cost path) and add it to N'.

Check all possible destinations from w. If going through w gives a lower cost to destination v, update D(v).

```
7
8 Loop
```


- 9 find w not in N' such that D(w) is a minimum
  - 10 add w to N'
  - 11 update D(v) for all v adjacent to w and not in N' :
  - 12 D(v) = min(D(v), D(w) + c(w,v))
  - 13 /\* new cost to v is either old cost to v or known
  - 14 shortest path cost to w plus cost from w to v \*/
  - 15 until all nodes in N'

#### Dijkstra's Algorithm Example



• Goal: From the perspective of node A:

- Determine shortest path to every destination




**Previous Step** 

Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
А		
В		
С		
D		
Е		
F		

This Step

	·····		
	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
$\checkmark$	А	А	0
	В	В	5
	С	С	2
	D	?	$\infty$
	E	?	œ
	F	?	80




**Previous Step** 

	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)	
$\sim$	А	А	0	
	В	В	5	
	С	С	2	Pick
	D	?	$\infty$	Min
	Е	?	$\infty$	
	F	?	$\infty$	

This Step

	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
$\checkmark$	А	А	0
	В		
	С		
	D		
	Е		
	F		




Can we find lower cost to any other node by going through C?

	Previous Step		
	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
$\sim$	A	А	0
	В	В	5
	С	С	2
	D	?	œ
	Е	?	œ
	F	?	$\infty$

**Draviaus** Stan

		This Step	
	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
$\checkmark$	А	А	0
	В		
$\checkmark$	С	С	2
	D		
	Е		
	F		




Consider path to B: D(B) or D(C) + cost(C, B)

**Previous Step** 

	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
$\sim$	А	А	0
	В	В	5
	С	С	2
	D	?	œ
	Е	?	œ
	F	?	œ

Cost D(v)
0
2




Consider path to B:

D(B) = 5 or D(C) + cost(C, B) 2 + 1 = 3

	Previous Step		
	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
$\sim$	А	А	0
	В	В	5
	С	С	2
	D	?	$\infty$
	Е	?	$\infty$
	F	?	$\infty$

		This Step	
	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
$\checkmark$	А	А	0
	В	С, В	3
$\checkmark$	С	С	2
	D		
	Е		
	F		




Consider path to D:

 $D(D) = \infty$ or D(C) + cost(C, D)2 + 4 = 6

Thic Ston

**Previous Step** Cost D(v) Path Dest Α Α 0 В В 5 С 2 С D ?  $\infty$ Ε ?  $\infty$ ? F  $\boldsymbol{\infty}$ 

		This Step	
	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
$\checkmark$	А	А	0
	В	С, В	3
$\checkmark$	С	С	2
	D	C, D	6
	Е		
	F		



Still no information about E or F.

	•	<u><u> </u></u>
Prev		Step
	1005	JULP


	Deet		
	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
$\sim$	А	А	0
	В	В	5
	С	С	2
	D	?	<b>∞</b>
	Е	?	œ
	F	?	$\infty$

This Step

	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
$\checkmark$	А	А	0
	В	С, В	3
$\checkmark$	С	С	2
	D	C, D	6
	Е	?	$\infty$
	F	?	$\infty$

Pick

Min




**Previous Step** 

	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
$\checkmark$	А	А	0
	В	С, В	3
$\checkmark$	С	С	2
	D	C, D	6
	Е	?	œ
	F	?	$\infty$

This Step

	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
$\checkmark$	А	А	0
$\checkmark$	В	С, В	3
$\checkmark$	С	С	2
	D		
	Е		
	F		




Consider path to D:

D(D) = 6 or D(B) + cost(B, D) 3 + 2 = 5

This Ctop

		Previous Ste	р
	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
$\sim$	A	А	0
	В	С, В	3
$\sim$	С	С	2
	D	C, D	6
	Е	?	œ
	F	?	$\infty$

		This Step	
	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
$\checkmark$	А	А	0
$\checkmark$	В	С, В	3
$\checkmark$	С	С	2
	D	C, B, D	5
	Е		
	F		




Consider path to E:

D(E) = ∞ or D(B) + cost(B, E) 3 + 10 = 13

**Previous Step** Cost D(v) Path Dest Α 0 Α С, В В 3 С С 2 C, D 6 D ? Ε  $\infty$ ? F  $\boldsymbol{\infty}$ 


		This Step	
	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
$\checkmark$	А	А	0
$\checkmark$	В	С, В	3
$\checkmark$	С	С	2
	D	C, B, D	5
	E	С, В, Е	13
	F	?	$\infty$



	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
$\checkmark$	А	А	0
$\checkmark$	В	С, В	3
$\checkmark$	С	С	2
	D	C, B, D	5
	Е	С, В, Е	13
	F	?	$\infty$

This Step

	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
$\checkmark$	А	А	0
$\checkmark$	В	С, В	3
$\checkmark$	С	С	2
$\checkmark$	D	C, B, D	5
	Е		
	F		




No change for E.

	•		<b>C</b> 1	
Pre	הואי		Step	
	- • • •	u J	JULP	

Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
А	А	0
В	С, В	3
С	С	2
D	C, B, D	5
Е	С, В, Е	13
F	?	$\infty$
	A B C D E	DestPathAABC, BCCDC, B, DEC, B, E

This Step

	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
$\checkmark$	А	А	0
$\checkmark$	В	С, В	3
$\checkmark$	С	С	2
$\checkmark$	D	C, B, D	5
	E	С, В, Е	13
	F		




Consider path to F:

D(F) = ∞ or D(D) + cost(D, F) 5 + 5 = 10

This Stop

**Previous Step** Cost D(v) Path Dest Α 0 Α С, В В 3 С С 2 C, B, D 5 D С, В, Е Ε 13 ? F  $\boldsymbol{\infty}$ 

	Inis Step		
	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
$\checkmark$	А	А	0
$\checkmark$	В	С, В	3
$\checkmark$	С	С	2
$\checkmark$	D	C, B, D	5
	Е	С, В, Е	13
	F	C, B, D, F	10




Previous Step

	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
$\checkmark$	А	А	0
$\checkmark$	В	С, В	3
$\checkmark$	С	С	2
$\checkmark$	D	C, B, D	5
	Е	С, В, Е	13
	F	C, B, D, F	10

This Step

	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
$\sim$	А	А	0
$\sim$	В	С, В	3
$\sim$	С	С	2
$\checkmark$	D	C, B, D	5
	,		
$\sim$	F	C, B, D, F	10

## Dijkstra's Algorithm – Step 4



Consider path to E:


D(E) = 13 or D(F) + cost(F, E) 10 + 4 = 14

This Stop

**Previous Step** Cost D(v) Path Dest Α 0 Α С, В В 3 С С 2 C, B, D 5 D С, В, Е Ε 13 C, B, D, F F 10

		This Step					
	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)				
$\sim$	А	А	0				
$\sim$	В	С, В	3				
$\sim$	С	С	2				
$\checkmark$	D	C, B, D	5				
	E	С, В, Е	13				
$\checkmark$	F	C, B, D, F	10				

## Dijkstra's Algorithm – Step 5




**Previous Step** 

Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
А	А	0
В	С, В	3
С	С	2
D	C, B, D	5
Е	С, В, Е	13
F	C, B, D, F	10
	A B C D E	A       A         B       C, B         C       C         D       C, B, D         E       C, B, E

This Step

	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)				
	А	А	0				
	В	С, В	3				
	С	С	2				
$\sim$	D	C, B, D	5				
$\sim$	E	С, В, Е	13				
$\sim$	F	C, B, D, F	10				

## Dijkstra's Algorithm – Done!



#### Lot more state in routing table! Final Answer

	Dest	Path	Cost D(v)
$\checkmark$	А	А	0
$\checkmark$	В	С, В	3
	С	С	2
$\sim$	D	C, B, D	5
$\sim$	E	С, В, Е	13
$\sim$	F	C, B, D, F	10

## Populate Forwarding Table

#### Forwarding Table

Dest	Forward To
В	С
С	С
D	С
Е	С
F	С

## Dijkstra's Algorithm – Complexity


- With N nodes and E edges...
- As previously described it's O(N<sup>2</sup>)
  - At each step, there are N nodes to choose next
  - Total of N steps (each node must be chosen)
- Fastest known is O(N log N + E)
  - Uses a min-heap

## Link State - Summary

- \* Fast convergence (reacts to events quickly)
- Small window of inconsistency

- Large number of messages sent on events
- Large routing tables as network size grows

## Intradomain / Intra-AS Routing



Routing algorithm to find the least-cost path between routers within an Autonomous System

# Intra-AS Routing

• Also known as *interior gateway protocols (IGP)* 

## Goal: Get traffic that is already in an AS to a destination inside that same AS.

OSPF and IS-IS are deployed most commonly today

# Routing Algorithm Classes

Link State (Global)

- Routers maintain cost of each link in the network.
- Connectivity/cost changes flooded to all routers.
- Converges quickly (less inconsistency, looping, etc.).
- Limited network sizes.

#### **Distance Vector (Decentralized)**

- Routers maintain next hop & cost of each destination.
- Connectivity/cost changes iteratively propagate from neighbor to neighbor.
- Requires multiple rounds to converge.
- Scales to large networks.

## **Bellman-Ford Equation**

let

 $d_x(y) := cost of least-cost path from x to y$ then

## **Distance Vectors**

- Let D<sub>x</sub>(y) = vector of least cost from x to y
- Node x:
  - Knows cost to each neighbor v: c(x,v)
  - Maintains its neighbors' distance vectors.
     For each neighbor v, x maintains:
     D<sub>v</sub> = [D<sub>v</sub>(y): y ∈ N ]
- As opposed to link state:

Only keeps state for yourself and direct neighbors

## Distance Vector Algorithm

- Periodically, each node sends its own distance vector to neighbors
- Upon receiving new DV from neighbor, update its local DV using B-F equation:

 $D_x(y) \leftarrow \min_v \{c(x,v) + D_v(y)\}$  for each node  $y \in N$ 

 Under typical conditions, D<sub>x</sub>(y) will converge to the least cost d<sub>x</sub>(y)

## Distance Vector Algorithm

#### *Iterative, asynchronous:*


Iteration when:

- Local link cost change
- DV update from neighbor
- Periodic timer


#### Distributed:

 Each node knows only a portion of global link info







## **Distance Vector Example**




- Same network as Dijkstra's example, without node E.
- What I'll show you next is routing table (of distance vectors) at each router.



Routers populate their forwarding table by taking the row minimum.





Router F

В

14

D

5

Via→


↓ То

Α


В


С


D





Router exchange their local vectors with direct neighbors. We'll assume they all exchange at once (synchronous). (Not realistic)


































Router B											
Via→ ↓ To	A	C	D	F							
А	5										
С	7	1									
D			2								
F				14							






















Router F										
Via→ ↓ To	В	D								
А	19									
В	14	7								
С	15	9								
D	16	5								


Router	Router A				Router				Rc	oute	r C		Rout	er D		
Via→ ↓ To	В	С	<b>1</b>	Via→ To	Α	C	D	F	Via→ ↓ To	Α	В	D	Via→ ↓ To	В	С	F
В	5	3		А	5	3			А	2	6		А	7	6	
С	6	2		С	7	1	6		В	7	1	6	В	2	5	19
D	7	6		D		5	2	19	D		3	4	С	3	4	
F	19			F			7	14	F		15	9	F	16		5






Route	r A		Ro	out	er l	3		Router C				Router D					
Via→ ↓ To	В	С	Via→ ↓ To	A	C	D	F	Via→ ↓ To	Α	В	D	Via→ ↓ To	В	C	F		
В	5	3	А	5	3			А	2	6		А	7	6			
С	6	2	С	7	1	6		В	7	1	6	В	2	5	19		
D	7	6	D		5	2	19	D		3	4	С	3	4			
F	19		F			7	14	F		15	9	F	16		5		


#### Distance Vector – End of Round 1








# At the end of round 1, how many routers need to update their forwarding tables?



Via→ ↓ To	В	D								
А	19									
В	14	7								
С	15	9								
D	16	5								

Route	Router A Router B			Router C				Router D								
Via→ ↓ To	В	C		Via→ ↓ To	A	C	D	F	Via→ ↓ To	Α	В	D	Via→ ↓ To	В	C	F
В	5	3		А	5	3			А	2	6		А	7	6	
С	6	2		С	7	1	6		В	7	1	6	В	2	5	19
D	7	6		D		5	2	19	D		3	4	С	3	4	
F	19			F			7	14	F		15	9	F	16		5





Router F


Via→ ↓ To	В	D
А	19	
В	14	7
С	15	9
D	16	5


Each router advertises the best cost it has to each destination. Nothing new to learn from A or F, so we'll skip their announcements.

Route	Rc	out	er l	В		Route	er C			Route	er D				
Via→ ↓ To	В	С	Via- <del>&gt;</del> ↓ To	A	C	D	F	Via→ ↓ To	A	В	D	Via→ ↓ To	B	C	F
В	5	3	А	5	3			А	2	6		А	7	6	
С	6	2	С	7	1	6		В	7	1	6	В	2	5	19
D	7	6	D		5	2	19	D		3	4	С	3	4	
F	19		F			7	14	F		15	9	F	16		5










## Distance Vector – Convergence





Router F												
Via→ ↓ To	В	D										
А	17	10										
В	14	7										
С	15	8										
D	16	5										

Eventually, we reach a converged state.

Route	er A		R		Route	Router C Router D									
Via→ ↓ To	В	С	Via→ ↓ To	A	С	D	F	Via→ ↓ To	Α	В	D	Via→ ↓ To	В	С	F
В	5	3	А	5	3	7	24	А	2	4	9	А	5	6	15
С	6	2	С	7	1	4	22	В	7	1	6	В	2	5	12
D	7	5	D	10	4	2	19	D	7	3	4	С	3	4	13
F	12	10	F	15	9	7	14	F	12	8	9	F	9	12	5

## Distance Vector – Convergence






Final forwarding tables:

Route	r A		R	oute	r B			Route	er D						
Via→ ↓ To	В	С	Via→ ↓ To	Α	С	D	F	Via→ ↓ To	Α	В	D	Via→ ↓ To	В	C	F
В	5	3	A	5	3	7	24	А	(2)	4	9	А	5	6	15
C	6	2	C	7	1	4	22	В	7	$\bigwedge$	6	В	2	5	12
D	7	5	D	10	4	2	19	D	, 7	3	4	C	3	4	13
F	, 12	10	F	15	9	2 7	14	F	12	8	9	F	9	12	$\overline{(5)}$
1	Τζ			T)	5	U	74		ΤZ	V	5	I	5	12	

# Of the links in red below, for how many would a failure cause a loop?




Consider the failures independently (not all at the same time).

Route	er F					
Via→	В	D				
↓ То		$\wedge$				
А	17	10				
В	14	7				
С	15	8				
D	16	5				

Router A Router B								Route	er C			Route	er D		F         15         12         13         5		
Via→ ↓ To	В	С	Via→ ↓ To	A	С	D	F	Via→ ↓ To	A	В	D	Via→ ↓ To	В	C	F		
	_	$\wedge$		_		-7	2.4			4	0		$\wedge$	6	4 5		
В	5	3	A	5	3	/	24	A	(2)	4	9	А	5	6	15		
С	6	2	С	7	1	4	22	В	7	1	6	В	2	5	12		
D	7	5	D	10	4	2	19	D	7	3	4	С	3	4	13		
F	12	10	F	15	9	7	14	F	12	8	9	F	9	12	5		

## Rewind: Distance Vector – Round 2



 Via→
 B
 D

 ↓ To
 17
 1

 A
 17
 1

 B
 14
 7

 C
 15
 9


 D
 16
 5

Router F

B will send to neighbors (A, C, D, F): I can get to <u>A in 3</u>, C in 1, D in 2, and <u>F in 7</u>.

Route	Ro	ute	er E	3		Route	er C			Route	er D				
Via→ ↓ To	В	С	Via→ ↓ To	Α	C	D	F	Via→ ↓ To	Α	В	D	Via→ ↓ To	В	C	F
В	5	3	А	5	3			А	2	4?		А	5	6	
С	6	2	С	7	1	6		В	7	1	6	В	2	5	19
D	7	6	D		5	2	19	D		3	4	С	3	4	
F	12		F			7	14	F		8	9	F	9?		5

## Rewind: Distance Vector – Round 2



## Loop-prevention

- Route poisoning helps prevent loops, but doesn't guarantee loop free.
- Other mechanisms help too
- There will always be a window of vulnerability

## Summary

### Link State

- Fast convergence (reacts to events quickly)
- Small window of inconsistency
- Large number of messages sent on events
- Large routing tables as network size grows

#### **Distance Vector**

- Distributed (small tables)
- No flooding (fewer messages)
- Slower convergence
- Larger window of inconsistency