CS 43: Computer Networks

06:Domain Name Systems September 24, 2020



Today

- Identifiers and addressing
- Domain Name System
 - Telephone directory of the Internet
 - Protocol format
 - Caching: Load balancing
 - Security Challenges

DNS: Domain Name System

People: many identifiers:

– name, swat ID, SSN, passport #

Internet hosts (endpoints), routers (devices inside a n/w):

- "name", e.g., www.google.com used by humans
- IP address (32 bit) used for addressing packets

How do we map between IP address and name, and vice versa ?

DNS: Application Layer Protocol

- distributed database
 - implemented in hierarchy of many name servers.
- application-layer protocol:
 - hosts communicate to name servers
 - resolve names \rightarrow addresses
- note: core Internet function, implemented as application-layer protocol

Where



Slide 5

Recall: TCP/IP Protocol Stack



DNS: domain name system

- distributed database implemented in hierarchy of many name servers.
- application-layer protocol: hosts, name servers communicate to resolve names → addresses
 - <u>note: core Internet function, implemented as</u> <u>application-layer protocol</u>

– <u>complexity at network 's "edge</u>"

Why do we need to map names to IP addresses? Why not route on names at the network layer?

- A. Domain names are hierarchical, so we can route on domain names too.
- B. Domain names are variable length, vs IP are fixed length, some changes will be required to switch.
- C. With domain names we wouldn't know where to route to geographically.
- D. Some other reason.

Why do we need to map names to IP addresses? Why not route on names at the network layer?

- A. Domain names are hierarchical, so we can route on domain names too (Named Data Networking Efforts).
- B. Domain names are variable length, vs IP are fixed length, some changes will be required to switch.
- C. With domain names we wouldn't know where to route to geographically (mostly true).
- D. Some other reason.

Identifiers

- Host name (e.g., www.swarthmore.edu)
 - <u>Used by humans to specify host of interest</u>
 - Unique, selected by host administrator
 - Hierarchical, variable-length string of alphanumeric characters
- IP address (e.g., 130.58.68.164)
 - <u>Used by routers</u> to forward packets
 - Unique, topologically meaningful locator
 - Hierarchical namespace of 32 bits

Mapping Between Identifiers

- Domain Name System (DNS)
 - Given a host name, provide the IP address
 - Given an IP address, provide the host name

What's the biggest challenge for DNS?

- A. It's old.
- B. The fact that the Internet is global.
- C. The fact that DNS is now critical infrastructure.
- D. The sheer number of name lookups happening at any given time.
- E. How and when the name -> IP address mapping should change.

What's the biggest challenge for DNS?

- A. It's old.
- B. The fact that the Internet is global.
- C. The fact that DNS is now critical infrastructure.
- D. The sheer number of name lookups happening at any given time.
- E. How and when the name -> IP address mapping should change.

In the old days...

- Pre-1982, everyone downloads a "hosts.txt" file from SRI
- Pre-1998, Jon Postel, researcher at USC, runs the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA)
 - RFCs 882 & 883 in 1983
 - RFCs 1034 & 1035 in
 1987



Emailed 8/12 root DNS servers, asked change to his authority. They did.

http://www.wired.com/wiredenterprise/2012/10/joe-postel/

Since 1998...

- Control of Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) transferred to Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN)
 - ICANN is a private non-profit (formerly) blessed by US
 DOC
 - Global advisory committee for dealing with international issues
 - 2000's: Many efforts for UN control, US resisted
 - 2016: ICANN no longer partnered with DOC

Who should control DNS?

A. US government

- B. UN / International government
- C. Private corporation
- D. Someone else

Recent Controversy

Advert



- Is ICANN working in the world's best interest?
- New "top level domains" added, for auction

Other

DNS Services

- DNS is an application-layer protocol. E2E design!
- It provides:
 - Hostname to IP address translation
 - Host aliasing (canonical and alias names)
 - Mail server aliasing
 - Load distribution (one name may resolve to multiple IP addresses)
 - Lots of other stuff that you might use a directory service to find. (Wikipedia: List of DNS record types)







Domain Name System (DNS)

- Distributed administrative control
 - Hierarchical name space divided into zones
 - Distributed over a collection of DNS servers
- Hierarchy of DNS servers
 - Root servers
 - Top-level domain (TLD) servers
 - Authoritative DNS servers
- Performing the translations
 - Local DNS servers
 - Resolver software

Why do we structure DNS like this? Which of these helps the most? Drawbacks?

- A. It divides up responsibility among parties.
- B. It improves performance of the system.
- C. It reduces the size of the state that a server needs to store.
- D. Some other reason.

Why do we structure DNS like this? Which of these helps the most? Drawbacks?

- A. It divides up responsibility among parties.
- B. It improves performance of the system overall but individual end hosts (without caching) have a look-up overhead of traversing the DNS hierarchy.
- C. It reduces the size of the state that a server needs to store.
- D. Some other reason.



DNS: Root Name Servers

- Root name server:
 - Knows how to find top-level domains (.com, .edu, .gov, etc.)
 - How often does the location of a TLD change?
 - approx. 400 total root servers
 - Significant amount of traffic is not legitimate





Top Level Domains

Top-level domain (TLD) servers:

- Responsible for com, org, net, edu, gov, aero, jobs, museums, and all top-level country domains, e.g.: uk, fr, de, ca, jp, etc.
- Verisign maintains servers for .com and .net TLD
- Educause for .edu TLD (Verisign actually runs backend)
- Others managed by corresponding entity (e.g., local governments or companies)



Authoritative Servers

Authoritative DNS servers:

- Organization's own DNS server(s), providing authoritative hostname to IP mappings for organization's named hosts
- Can be maintained by organization or service provider, easily changing entries
- Often, but not always, acts as organization's local name server (for responding to look-ups)

Resolution Process

- End host wants to look up a name, who should it contact?
 - It could traverse the hierarchy, starting at a root
 - More efficient for ISP to provide a local server
- ISP's local server for handling queries not necessarily a part of the pictured hierarchy

Local DNS Name Server

- Each ISP (residential ISP, company, university) has (at least) one
 - also called "default name server"
- When host makes DNS query, query is sent to its local DNS server
 - has local cache of recent name-to-address translation pairs (but may be out of date!)
 - acts as proxy, forwards query into hierarchy

DNS name resolution example #1

 allspice wants IP address for gaia.cs.umass.edu

iterative query:

- contacted server replies with name of server to contact
- "I don't know this name, but ask this server"



gaia.cs.umass.edu





Lecture 9 - Slide 36

Which would you use? Why?



root DNS server

B. Recursive



Summary

- DNS maps human readable names to IP addresses
- DNS arranged into a hierarchy
 - Scalability / distributed responsibility
 - Autonomous control of local name servers
- Caching crucial for performance