#### CS 31: Introduction to Computer Systems

#### 13-14: Arrays, Pointers March 24



### Recall: Arrays

- C's support for <u>collections of values</u>
  - Array buckets store a single type of value
  - <u>Specify max capacity</u> (num buckets) when you declare an array variable (single memory chunk)

#### Recall: Arrays

```
Static Allocation:
```

<type> <var\_name>[<num buckets>]

```
int arr[5];
```

// an array of 5 integers

float rates[40];

// an array of 40 floats

**Dynamic Allocation:** 

```
<type> <var_name>[<num buckets>]
```

```
int * arr =
malloc(sizeof(int)*5);
// an array of 5 integers
//initialize array
//free array
free(arr);
```

#### Recall: Pointers as Arrays



#### Pointers as Arrays





#### Pointers as Arrays

# int \*iptr = NULL; iptr = malloc(4 \* sizeof(int));



#### Pointers as Arrays

# int \*iptr = NULL; iptr = malloc(4 \* sizeof(int));



- Addition and subtraction work on pointers.
- C automatically increments by the size of the type that's pointed to.





- Addition and subtraction work on pointers.
- <u>C automatically increments by the size of the type</u> <u>that's pointed to.</u>

#### Base + Offset

• We know that arrays act as a pointer to the first element. For bucket [N], we just skip forward N.



• "We're goofy computer scientists who count starting from zero."

#### Base + Offset

• We know that arrays act as a pointer to the first element. For bucket [N], we just skip forward N.



 "We're goofy computer scientists who count starting from zero."

#### Base + Offset

• We know that arrays act as a pointer to the first element. For bucket [N], we just skip forward N.



This is why we start counting from zero! Skipping forward with an offset of zero ([0]) gives us the first bucket... Slide 14

#### What is the memory address stored in iptr2?

int \*iptr = NULL; iptr = malloc(4 \* sizeof(int)); int \*iptr2 = iptr + 3;

- A. Mem. address in iptr + 12 bytes
- B. Mem. address in iptr + 3 bytes
- C. Mem. address in iptr + 4 bytes
- D. None of the above

Which expression would compute the address of iptr[3]?

- A. 0x0824 + 3 \* 4
- B. 0x0824 + 4 \* 4
- C. 0x0824 + 0xC
- D. More than one (which?)
- E. None of these

Неар			
0x0824:	iptr[0]		
0x0828:	iptı	iptr[1]	
0x082C:	ipti	iptr[2]	
0x0830:	iptı	iptr[3]	

#### What is the memory address stored in iptr2?

int \*iptr = NULL; iptr = malloc(4 \* sizeof(int)); int \*iptr2 = iptr + 3;

- A. <u>Mem. address in iptr + 12 bytes (3 buckets of size</u> <u>int)</u>
- B. Mem. address in iptr + 3 bytes
- C. Mem. address in iptr + 4 bytes
- D. None of the above

Which expression would compute the address of iptr[3]?

- A. 0x0824 + 3 \* 4
- B. 0x0824 + 4 \* 4
- C. 0x0824 + 0xC
- D. More than one (which?)
- E. None of these

Неар			
0x0824:	iptr	iptr[0]	
0x0828:	iptr	iptr[1]	
0x082C:	iptr	iptr[2]	
0x0830:	iptr[	iptr[3]	

Which expression would compute the address of iptr[3]? What if this isn't known at compile time?

A. 0x0824 + 3 \* 4 (requires an extra multiplication step)

- B. 0x0824 + 4 \* 4
- C. 0x0824 + 0xC
- D. More than one (which?)
- E. None of these

Неар				
0x0824:	iptı	r[0]		
0x0828:	iptı	iptr[1]		
0x082C:	iptr[2]			
0x0830:	iptı	iptr[3]		

#### **Two-dimensional Arrays**



#### Two-dimensional Arrays: Matrix



#### Matrix: 3 rows, 4 columns

0	1	2	3
1	2	3	4
2	3	4	5

Row Major Order: all Row 0 buckets, followed by all Row 1 buckets

0xf260	0	twodim[0]	[0]
0xf264	1	twodim[0]	[1]
0xf268	2	twodim[0]	[2]
0xf26c	3	twodim[0]	[3]
0xf270	1	twodim[1]	[0]
0xf274	2	twodim[1]	[1]
0xf278	3	twodim[1]	[2]
0xf27c	4	twodim[1]	[3]
0xf280	2	twodim[2]	[0]
0xf284	3	twodim[2]	[1]
0xf288	4	twodim[2]	[2]
0xf28c	5	twodim[2]	[3]

#### Matrix: 3 rows, 4 columns

0	1	2	3
1	2	3	4
2	3	4	5

twodim[1][3]:

Find the memory index:

[row #][col #] = (row #) \* ROWSIZE + col # = 1 \* 4 + 3 = 7

0xf260	0	twodim[0][0]
0xf264	1	twodim[0][1]
0xf268	2	twodim[0][2]
0xf26c	3	twodim[0][3]
0xf270	1	twodim[1][0]
0xf274	2	twodim[1][1]
0xf278	3	twodim[1][2]
0xf27c	4	twodim[1][3]
0xf280	2	twodim[2][0]
0xf284	3	twodim[2][1]
0xf288	4	twodim[2][2]
0xf28c	5	twodim[2][3]

#### Matrix: 3 rows, 4 columns

0	1	2	3
1	2	3	4
2	3	4	5

twodim[1][3]:

Converting mem index into a memory address: = base\_address + mem\_index \* sizeof(data)

base address = 0xf260 (hex) mem index \* sizeof(data) = 7\*4 = 28 (decimal) = 1c (hex)

= 0xf260 + 1c = 0xf27c

0xf260	0	twodim[0][0]
0xf264	1	twodim[0][1]
0xf268	2	twodim[0][2]
0xf26c	3	twodim[0][3]
0xf270	1	twodim[1][0]
0xf274	2	twodim[1][1]
0xf278	3	twodim[1][2]
0xf27c	4	twodim[1][3]
0xf280	2	twodim[2][0]
0xf284	3	twodim[2][1]
0xf288	4	twodim[2][2]
0xf28c	5	twodim[2][3]

You do not need to convert mem index into an address for the lab!

#### Matrix: 3 rows, 4 columns

0	1	2	3
1	2	3	4
2	3	4	5

twodim[1][3]:

Converting mem index into a memory address: = base address + mem index \* sizeof(data)

base address = 0xf260 (hex) mem index \* sizeof(data) = 7\*4 = 28 (decimal) = 1c (hex)

= 0xf260 + 1c = 0xf27c

0xf260	0	twodim[0][0]
0xf264	1	twodim[0][1]
0xf268	2	twodim[0][2]
0xf26c	3	twodim[0][3]
0xf270	1	twodim[1][0]
0xf274	2	twodim[1][1]
0xf278	З	twodim[1][2]
0xf27c	4	<pre>twodim[1][3]</pre>
0xf280	2	twodim[2][0]
0xf284	3	twodim[2][1]
0xf288	4	twodim[2][2]
0xf28c	5	twodim[2][3]

You do not need to convert mem index into an address for the lab

If we declared int matrix[5][3];,
and the base of matrix is 0x3420, what is
the address of matrix[3][2]?

Find the memory index: [row #][col #] = (row #) \* ROWSIZE + col #

B. 0x3440

A. 0x3438

- C. 0x3444
- D. 0x344C
- E. None of these
- Find the memory address: base\_address + mem\_index \* sizeof (datatype)

If we declared int matrix[5][3];, and the base of matrix is 0x3420, what is the address of matrix[3][2]?

- A. 0x3438 Find the memory index: [row #][col #] = (row #) \* ROWSIZE + col #
- B. 0x3440
- C. 0x3444 Find the memory address:
- D. 0x344C base\_address + mem\_index \* sizeof (datatype)
- E. None of these

Mem\_index = 3\*3+2 = 11Mem. address = 0x3420 + 11\*4 (2c) = 0x344c If we declared int matrix[5][3];, and the base of matrix is 0x3420, what is the address of matrix[3][2]?

- A. 0x3438 Find the memory index:
- B. 0x3440 [row #][col #] = (row #) \* ROWSIZE + col #
- C. 0x3444 Find the memory address:
- D. 0x344C base\_address + mem\_index \* sizeof (datatype)
- E. None of these

Mem\_index = 3\*3+2 = 11Mem. address = 0x3420 + 11\*4 (2c) = 0x344c

### Composite Data Types

- Combination of one or more existing types into a new type. (e.g., an array of *multiple* ints, or a struct)
- Example: a queue
  - Might need a value (int) plus a link to the next item (pointer)

```
struct queue_node{
    int value;
    struct queue_node *next;
}
```

Laid out contiguously by field
 In order of field declaration.

```
struct student{
    int age;
    float gpa;
    int id;
};
```

struct student s;



- Struct fields accessible as a base + displacement
  - Compiler knows (constant) displacement of each field

```
struct student{
    int age;
    float gpa;
    int id;
};
```

struct student s;



- Laid out contiguously by field
  - In order of field declaration.
  - May require some padding, for alignment.

```
struct student{
    int age;
    float gpa;
    int id;
};
```



#### Data Alignment:

- Where (which address) can a field be located?
- <u>char (1 byte)</u>: can be allocated at any address: 0x1230, 0x1231, 0x1232, 0x1233, 0x1234, ...
- <u>short (2 bytes)</u>: must be aligned on 2-byte addresses:
   0x1230, 0x1232, 0x1234, 0x1236, 0x1238, ...
- <u>int (4 bytes)</u>: must be aligned on 4-byte addresses:
   0x1230, 0x1234, 0x1238, 0x123c, 0x1240, ...

# Why do we want to align data on multiples of the data size?

- A. It makes the hardware faster.
- B. It makes the hardware simpler.
- C. It makes more efficient use of memory space.
- D. It makes implementing the OS easier.
- E. Some other reason.

# Why do we want to align data on multiples of the data size?

- A. It makes the hardware faster.
- B. It makes the hardware simpler.
- C. It makes more efficient use of memory space.
- D. It makes implementing the OS easier.
- E. Some other reason.

## Data Alignment: Why?

- Simplify hardware
  - e.g., only read ints from multiples of 4
  - Don't need to build wiring to access 4-byte chunks at any arbitrary location in hardware
- Inefficient to load/store single value across alignment boundary (1 vs. 2 loads)
- Simplify OS:
  - Prevents data from spanning virtual pages
  - Atomicity issues with load/store across boundary

- Laid out contiguously by field
  - In order of field declaration.
  - May require some padding, for alignment

```
struct student{
   char name[11];
   short age;
   int id;
};
```

<pre>struct student{</pre>
char name[11];
short age;
int id;
};

- Size of data: 17 bytes
- Size of struct: 20 bytes

#### <u>Use sizeof() when allocating</u> <u>structs with malloc()</u>!

Memory		
0x1234		s.name[0]
0x1235		s.name[1]
•••		•••
0x123d		s.name[9]
0x123e		s.name[10]
0x123f		padding
0x1240		s.age
0x1231		s.age
0x1232		
0x1233		padding
0x1234		s.id
0x1235		s.id
0x1236		s.id
0x1237		s.id
0x1238	•••	
		Slide

38

#### Alternative Layout

struct student{
 int id;
 short age;
 char name[11];
};

Same fields, declared in a different order.

#### Alternative Layout

struct student{
 int id;
 short age;
 char name[11];
};

- Size of data: 17 bytes
- Size of struct: 17 bytes!

In general, this isn't a big deal on a day-to-day basis. Don't go out and rearrange all your struct declarations.



# How much space do we need to store one of these structures?

struct student{
 char name[15];
 int id;
 short age;
};

A.17 bytes B.20 bytes C.21 bytes D.22 bytes E.24 bytes Cool, so we can get rid of this padding by being smart about declarations?

- A. Yes (why?)
- B. No (why not?)

Cool, so we can get rid of this padding by being smart about declarations?

- Answer: Maybe.
- Rearranging helps, but often padding after the struct can't be eliminated.



### "External" Padding

• Array of Structs

Field values in each bucket must be properly aligned:
 struct T2 arr[3];



Buckets must be on a 4-byte aligned address

#### A note on struct syntax...

```
struct student {
    int id;
    short age;
    char name[11];
};
struct student s;
s.id = 406432;
s.age = 20;
strcpy(s.name, "Alice");
```

#### A note on struct syntax...

```
Not a struct, but a
struct student {
  int id;
                                   pointer to a struct!
  short age;
  char name[11];
};
struct student *s = malloc(sizeof(struct student));
(*s).id = 406432;
                                     This works, but is very ugly.
(*s).age = 20;
strcpy((*s).name, "Alice");
s - > id = 406432;
                             Access the struct field from a pointer with ->
                             Does a dereference and gets the field.
s - > age = 20;
strcpy(s->name, "Alice");
                                                               Slide 46
```

#### Arrays of Structs

```
struct student classroom[50];
strcpy(classroom[0].name, "Alice");
classroom[0].grad year = 2019;
classroom[0].gpa = 4.0;
strcpy(classroom[1].name, "Bob");
classroom[1].grad year = 2020;
classroom[1].qpa = 3.1
strcpy(classroom[2].name, "Cat");
classroom[2].grad year = 2021;
classroom[2].qpa = 3.4
```

#### Struct: Layout in Memory

#### classroom:

'A'	'1'	'i'	'c ,	'e ,	'∖0 ,		'B'	' o ,	'b ,	'\0′		'C′	'a'	't ,	'\ 0 '	•••
2019					2020					2021						
4.0				3.1					3.4							
Ŷ				γ					Y							
[0]					[1]					[2]						

### Stack Padding

• Memory alignment applies elsewhere too.

int x; vs. double y; char ch[5]; int x; short s; short s; double y; char ch[5];

- Declared like a struct, but only contains one field, rather than all of them.
- Struct: field 1 and field 2 and field 3 ...
- Union: field 1 <u>or</u> field 2 <u>or</u> field 3 ...
- Intuition: you know you only need to store one of N things, don't waste space.



}



char ch[2]; int i; short s;



my\_struct in memory

my\_union in memory

}

union my\_union {
 char ch[2];
 int i;
 short s;



my\_union in memory

my\_union u;

$$u.i = 7;$$

union my\_union { char ch[2]; int i; short s;



- my\_union u;
- u.i = 7;
- u.s = 2;

fields!

my_union u;	union my_union {
	char ch[2];
u.i = 7;	int i;
	short s;
u.s = 2;	}
	Same a
	memory _ <mark>2</mark>
u.cn[0] = a;	used for all 🛛 🛃

Reading i or s here would be bad!

my\_union in memory

my_union u;	union my_union {
	char ch[2];
u.i = 7;	int i;
	short s;
u.s = 2;	}
u.ch[0] = 'a';	Same memory used for all fields!

Reading i or s here would be bad!

u.i = 5;



}

- You probably won't use these often.
- Use when you need mutually exclusive types.
- Can save memory.

```
union my_union {
    char ch[2];
    int i;
    short s;
```

Same memory used for all fields!

## Strings

- Strings are *character arrays*
- Layout is the same as:
   char name[10];
- Often accessed as (char \*)



### String Functions

- C library has many built-in functions that operate on char \*'s:
  - strcpy, strdup, strlen, strcat, strcmp, strstr

```
char name[10];
strcpy(name, "CS 31");
```



### String Functions

 C library has many built-in functions that operate on char \*'s:

strcpy, strdup, strlen, strcat, strcmp, strstr

char name[10]; strcpy(name, "CS 31");

• Null terminator (\0) ends string.

We don't know/care what comes after



### String Functions

- C library has many built-in functions that operate on char \*'s:
  - strcpy, strdup, strlen, strcat, strcmp, strstr
- Seems simple on the surface.
  - That null terminator is tricky, strings error-prone.
  - Strings used everywhere!