

# CS41 Lab 8: Polynomial-Time Verifiers

This week, we've started to understand what makes some problems seemingly hard to compute. In this lab, we'll consider an easier problem of *verifying* that an algorithm's answer is correct.

1. **Reducing Vertex-Cover to Independent-Set.** Recall from class the following problems
  - INDEPENDENT-SET takes an undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$  and integer  $k$  and returns YES iff  $G$  contains an independent set of size at least  $k$ .
  - VERTEX-COVER takes an undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$  and integer  $k$  and returns YES iff  $G$  contains a vertex cover of size at most  $k$ .
  - (a) Show that  $\text{INDEPENDENT-SET} \leq_P \text{VERTEX-COVER}$ .
  - (b) Show that  $\text{VERTEX-COVER} \leq_P \text{INDEPENDENT-SET}$ .
2. **Polynomial-time Verifiers.** Call  $V$  an efficient *verifier* for a decision problem  $L$  if
  - (a)  $V$  is a polynomial-time algorithm that takes two inputs  $x$  and  $w$ .
  - (b) There is a polynomial function  $p$  such that for all strings  $x$ ,  $x \in L$  if and only if there exists  $w$  such that  $|w| \leq p(|x|)$  and  $V(x, w) = \text{YES}$ .

$w$  is usually called the *witness* or *certificate*. Think of  $w$  as some *proof* that  $x \in L$ . For  $V$  to be a polynomial-time verifier,  $w$  must have size some polynomial of the input  $x$ . For example, if  $x$  represents a graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges, the length of  $w$  could be  $n^2$  or  $m^3$  or  $(n + m)^{100}$  but not  $2^n$ .

Give polynomial-time verifiers for the following problems which are not known to have efficient

- (a) INDEPENDENT-SET.
- (b) VERTEX-COVER.
- (c) THREE-COLORING. Given  $G = (V, E)$  return YES iff the vertices in  $G$  can be colored using at most three colors such that each edge  $(u, v) \in E$  is *bichromatic*.
- (d) WEDDING-PLANNER. Recall in the Wedding planner problem, the input consists of a list of  $n$  people to possibly invite to a wedding, along with  $m$  *clauses*, where each clause specifies some criteria for whom to invite or not invite. Output YES iff there exists an invitation list that satisfies all clauses.

**Note:** Assume that each clause is of the form e.g.  $x_1 \vee \bar{x}_2 \vee \dots \vee \bar{x}_k$ , where  $x_i$  means to invite person  $i$ , and  $\bar{x}_j$  means to not invite person  $x_j$ .
- (e) FACTORING. Given numbers  $n, k$  written in binary, output YES iff  $n$  is divisible by  $d$  for some  $1 < d \leq k$ .
- (f) NOT-FACTORING. Given numbers  $n, k$  written in binary, output YES iff  $n$  is **NOT** divisible by  $d$  for any  $1 < d \leq k$ .

**Hint:** The following problem is **solvable** in polynomial time.<sup>1</sup>

PRIMES: Given a number  $n$  written in binary, output YES iff  $n$  is a prime number.

---

<sup>1</sup>This actually wasn't known until 2002, when Agrawal, Kayal, and Saxena created the AKS primality test. Kayal and Saxena were undergraduates at IIT Kanpur at the time; Agrawal was their advisor.